

## REMARKS

1-4. Having noted the Examiner's comment at paragraph 1, Applicant addresses paragraph 2 of the Detailed Action wherein the Examiner rejects claims 11 and 14-16 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, which Applicant regards as the invention.

Referring to claim 11, in paragraph 3 of the Detailed Action, the Examiner stated that there was insufficient antecedent basis in claim 11 for the limitation "the jack connector assembly" in claim 1 upon which it depends. Applicant has amended claim 11 to maintain proper antecedent basis for the claimed elements.

Referring to claim 14, in paragraph 4 of the Detailed Action, the Examiner stated that there was insufficient antecedent basis in claim 14 for the limitation "the left and right jack assemblies" in claim 13 upon which it depends. Applicant has amended claims 13 and 14 to maintain proper antecedent basis for the claimed elements.

Claims 11, 14, 15, and 16 should now be allowable, wherein no narrowing of the claims has been done by applicant, but merely typographical clarification.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5-7. As set forth in paragraph 5 of the Detailed Action, the Examiner allowed claims 1-10, 12, and 13. Although Applicant has amended claims 11, 13, and 14 to maintain proper antecedent basis for the claimed elements, Applicant's amendments do not affect the allowability of the referenced claims. Applicant has noted the Examiner's comments at paragraph 7 of the Detailed Action.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to pass this application to allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,



Date: 3-12-04

Rick Martin, Reg. No. 32,267  
Patent Law Offices of Rick Martin, P.C.  
416 Coffman Street  
Longmont, CO 80501  
(303) 651-2177